



Understanding and Managing a Declining NAWF at Peak Bloom—Sandy Stewart, Ph.D.

A common concern over the past few days has been with nodes above white flower (NAWF) in many fields. Specifically, the concern is that “white blooms are coming up the stalk” and NAWF is either low or has declined considerably. This observation is a concern during the first week of July, which should be close to peak bloom in Louisiana and begs the questions of what it means and what can we do about it?

What Does NAWF Tell Us?

NAWF can be thought of as a measure of the plant’s momentum or potential for new growth. NAWF usually declines after early bloom as terminal growth slows and fruit load on the plant increases. The decline is the result of the source-sink relationship on plant, with increasing sinks (bolls and squares) drawing on the source (carbohydrate supply) produced by the plant.

“NAWF can be thought of as a measure of the plant’s momentum or potential for new growth.”

Cotton plants will usually begin the bloom period with a NAWF of 8-10. NAWF for a ‘normal’ crop will decline gradually over the bloom period. Cutout can be loosely defined as the point at which vegetative growth slows to the point that very

few, if any, new fruiting sites will be added to the plant. Once cutout occurs, the boll load on the plant is effectively set. There are a multitude of opinions as to when cutout occurs, but most would agree that it is sometime between NAWF of 3-5.

The relatively low number for NAWF observed in many fields is basically a function of two interrelated things – fruit load and stress. As stated above, NAWF declines as a result of the source-sink relationship on the plant. Square and boll retention has been very high (exceeding 85%) in many fields.

Thus far, square shed due to physiological reasons and/or plant bug feeding has been minimal. With so many fruiting sites retained on the plant, maintaining NAWF of 8-10 cannot be expected for very long.

Along with high fruit retention, moisture stress in some fields has limited vegetative growth. Some areas have received plenty of rain, but many fields have been under some sort of moisture stress between pinhead square and early

(Continued on page 2)

Inside this issue:

Managing a Declining NAWF	1
---------------------------	---

Upcoming Dates:

Dean Lee Field Day, Alexandria—Aug. 21
Beltwide Cotton Conferences, San Antonio, Jan. 5-8, 2008

Declining NAWF (cont'd from Page 1)

(Continued from page 1)

bloom. Additionally, much of the Louisiana cotton crop emerged under cool conditions, which can prune tap root formation as discussed in the April 14, 2008 issue of the *Louisiana Cotton Bulletin*. If this is the case, the plant's ability to withstand moisture stress and supply this heavy fruit load is limited.

What is the Trend for NAWF?

There is a tendency to focus on what the NAWF is today. While this number is important, the trend during peak bloom is a better indicator of what is going on with the plant. One scenario is that NAWF is declining each week. For example, it may have been 7 last week, and is 5 this week. In this scenario, cutout is fast approaching. Another scenario may be

that NAWF is holding steady. For example, it may be 6 for two weeks in a row, indicating that terminal growth is slow, but not stopping and cutout can be avoided for a few more weeks.

A rapidly declining NAWF indicates that cutout may be imminent. Protecting the bolls on the plant is the highest priority and additional fruit set is not expected. In the second scenario of a steady NAWF from week to week, the alleviation of any moisture stress through irrigation is the highest priority. It is not unheard of for NAWF to decline to 3 or 4 and maintain that level for several weeks, giving a producer a chance for setting a significant number of bolls in the top third of the plant. Some square shed from plant bugs, physiological reasons, or what-



Seven nodes above white flower—avoiding moisture stress is a key to avoiding cutout.



Four nodes above white flower—cutout may be imminent.

3)

Declining NAWF (cont'd from Page 2)

(Continued from page 2)

ever, usually helps to maintain terminal growth and NAWF by reducing the fruit load on the plant.

Management Options Limited at Peak Bloom

As NAWF declines below 5, the options for management that can extend the bloom period become limited. In past years, this would have been less of a concern because of the acreage planted to DP 555 BG/RR. That particular variety is has so many full-season characteristics that cutout is difficult to ever define. All of the newer Roundup Ready Flex varieties are earlier maturing than DP 555 under normal conditions and cannot be expected to have the same tolerance to stress.

Irrigation

Alleviating moisture stress on plants with a NAWF of 6 or less at peak bloom should be the highest priority. More than any other factor, irrigation is the best option a producer has to prolong the bloom period. Drought stress on a plant with a declining NAWF and a heavy fruit load is a recipe for premature cutout.

Supplemental Nitrogen

Many producers have applied or are considering an application of supplemental N to prolong the bloom period. For a number of reasons foliar feeding should not be expected to delay cutout and prolong the bloom period of cotton that has a rapidly declining NAWF. There is an old adage that foliar feeding can make a good crop a little better. This is still true today, but foliar feeding has a poor track record of rescuing cotton crops.

Granular sources can be more effective than foliar feeding because they are

not so dependent on foliar uptake of N. Applying dry fertilizer, however, should be done with caution for two reasons. The first is that there should be some realistic expectation of success. Without good moisture, additional N will have little positive effect on the plant. The second is that nitrogen may not be truly limiting and additional applications can increase problems with insect pests, boll rot and harvest preparation.

Plant Growth Regulators

In situations with low or declining NAWF, plant growth regulators are not warranted. Specifically, when NAWF is 6 or less and declining, no mepiquat is warranted. The fruit load on the plant is a stronger PGR than mepiquat. If NAWF is greater than 6, mepiquat may be warranted, but only if soil moisture is good, and retention has declined. In all cases, decisions should be made on a field by field basis and applications should not be automatic.



Cotton 'blooming out the top.' Management options to prolong bloom are limited.



Dr. Sandy Stewart
Burch and D&PL Associate Professor
and Cotton Specialist

Dean Lee Research Station
8105 Tom Bowman Drive
Alexandria, LA 71302

Phone: 318-473-6522
Cell: 318-308-5625
E-mail: sstewart@agcenter.lsu.edu

LSU AgCenter Cotton
Extension

www.lsuagcenter.com

Parish	County Agent	Phone	Email
Avoyelles	Carlos Smith	318-253-7526	Csmith@agcenter.lsu.edu
Bossier	Joe Barrett	318-965-2326	JBarett@agcenter.lsu.edu
Caddo	John Levasseur	318-226-6505	JLevasseur@agcenter.lsu.edu
Caldwell	Jim McCann	318-649-2663	JMcCann@agcenter.lsu.edu
Catahoula	Cliff Watts	318-334-0700	CWatts@agcenter.lsu.edu
Concordia	Glen Daniels	318-336-5315	GDaniels@agcenter.lsu.edu
DeSoto	Hubert Wilkerson	318-453-1615	HWilkerson@agcenter.lsu.edu
East Carroll	Donna Lee	318-282-1292	DRLee@agcenter.lsu.edu
Evangeline	Keith Fontenot	337-363-5646	KFontenot@agcenter.lsu.edu
Franklin	Carol Pinnell-Alison	318-267-6713	CPinnell-Alison@agcenter.lsu.edu
Grant	Matt Martin	318-627-3675	MMartin@agcenter.lsu.edu
Lasalle	Jim Summers	318-992-2205	JSummers@agcenter.lsu.edu
Madison	R.L. Frazier	318-267-6714	RFrazier@agcenter.lsu.edu
Morehouse	Terry Erwin	318-282-3615	TErwin@agcenter.lsu.edu
Natchitoches	Hubert Wilkerson	318-453-1615	HWilkerson@agcenter.lsu.edu
Ouachita	Richard Letlow	318-282-2181	RLetow@agcenter.lsu.edu
Pointe Coupee	Miles Brashier	225-281-9469	MBrashier@agcenter.lsu.edu
Rapides	Matt Martin	318-473-6605	MMartin@agcenter.lsu.edu
Red River	David Yount	318-932-4342	DYount@agcenter.lsu.edu
Richland	Keith Collins	318-355-0703	KCollins@agcenter.lsu.edu
St. Landry	Keith Normand	337-296-6859	KNormand@agcenter.lsu.edu
Tensas	Dennis Burns	318-267-6709	DBurns@agcenter.lsu.edu
West Carroll	Myrl Sistrunk	318-267-6712	MSistrunk@agcenter.lsu.edu

SPECIALISTS

Cotton Specialist	Sandy Stewart	318-473-6522 318-308-5625(cell)	sstewart@agcenter.lsu.edu
Weeds Specialist	Daniel Stephenson	318-473-6590 318-308-7225 (cell)	DStephenson@agcenter.lsu.edu
Entomology Specialist	Ralph Bagwell	318-435-2157 318-334-0393(cell)	Rbagwell@agcenter.lsu.edu
Nematodes Specialist	Charlie Overstreet	225-578-2186	Coverstreet@agcenter.lsu.edu
Pathology Specialist	Boyd Padgett	318-435-2157 318-308-9391(cell)	bpadgett@agcenter.lsu.edu
Economics Specialist	Gene Johnson	504-388-4081	GJohnson@agcenter.lsu.edu
Fertility Specialist	J. Stevens	318-427-4408 318-308-0754(cell)	JStevens@agcenter.lsu.edu
Extension Associate	Brandi C. Woolam	318-290-0625(cell)	bgarber@agcenter.lsu.edu

Louisiana State University Center Agricultural Center, William B. Richardson, Chancellor

Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station, David J. Boethel, Vice-Chancellor and Director

Louisiana Cooperative Extension Service, Paul D. Corell, Vice Chancellor and Director

Issued in furtherance of the Cooperative Extension work, Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. The Louisiana Cooperative Extension Service provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.